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# Dibromido(dimethyl sulfoxide- $\kappa O$ )-(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^{2} N, N^{\prime}$ )copper(II) 
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The solvent effect on the molecular structures of copper(II) complexes produced from the reaction between $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ and 1,10-phenanthroline is evident. The momomeric title compound, $\left[\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{OS}\right)\right]$, which consists of discrete units, is produced from this reaction in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), whereas a polymeric copper(II) compound is known to be produced from the same reaction in the poor coordinating solvent ethanol. The geometry around the copper(II) ion in the title compound is best described as trigonal-bipyramidal distorted square-based pyramidal, with a $\tau$ value of 0.37 . The two phenanthroline N atoms, the DMSO O atom and one of the Br atoms occupy the four basal positions, while the second Br atom occupies the axial position. The magnetic susceptibility data also indicate that the title compound is monomeric, but there is still a weak antiferromagnetic interaction between paramagnetic copper(II) centers via the intermolecular ' $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Cu}$ ' contact pathway.

## Comment

Polymeric $\left[\mathrm{CuBr}_{2} \text { (phen) }\right]_{\infty}$ (phen is 1,10 -phenanthroline) is produced when anhydrous $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ is reacted with an equimolar amount of 1,10 -phenanthroline in ethanol. This polymer consists of chains linked by $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br}$ bonds, in which the Cu atom displays tetragonally elongated (4+2)-coordination (Garland et al., 1988). However, the same reaction carried out in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), a coordinating solvent, produces the monomeric and five-coordinate title $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex, $\left[\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}\right.$ (phen)(DMSO)], (I). In this work, we report the preparation of (I) and the determination of its singlecrystal structure. Selected bond distances and angles for (I) are listed in Table 1.

The coordination geometry around the Cu atom is best described as trigonal-bipyramidal distorted square-based pyramidal, with a $\tau$ value of 0.37 (Addison et al., 1984; Harrison et al., 1981; Nagle et al., 1990); the two N atoms of the phen molecule, one Br atom and the O atom of DMSO occupy the four basal positions, while the other Br atom occupies the axial position (Fig. 1). The basal $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} 2$ bond distance is

(I)
considerably shorter than the axial $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} 1$ bond distance, but both distances are longer than the terminal $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances observed in the polymeric $\left[\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}(\text { phen })\right]_{\infty}$ compound. The unequal $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances (Table 1) and the distortion of the normally symmetric phen ligand in (I) are attributable to the coordination of the large DMSO molecule to the Cu atom. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Dmso}}(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 15)$ distance in (I) is similar to those in $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{DMSO})_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}[1.934$ (6)1.954 (6) $\AA$; Blake et al., 1996], but is significantly shorter than those in $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{DMSO})_{2}\right][2.336$ (5) or 2.418 (7) $\AA$; Popović et al., 2007] or the $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {Dmso }}$ distances in a similar Zn -DMSO complex [2.045 (5) and 2.066 (5) $\AA$; Che et al., 2006]. The intermolecular distance between the two parallel aromatic rings $\mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 5 / \mathrm{C} 14$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{C} 13^{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{C} 14^{\mathrm{i}}$ [symmetry code: (i) $-x+1,-y+2,-z$ ] of the coordinated phen ligands in the packing structure ( $3.4 \AA$ ) is much shorter than $4.11 \AA$, indicating the existence of significant $\pi-\pi$ interactions between them (Fig. 2).

Magnetic data of (I) were collected as a function of temperature $(2-300 \mathrm{~K})$. Fig. 3 shows a plot of magnetic susceptibility versus temperature. The room-temperature magnetic moment of $(\mathrm{I})$, estimated from $\mu_{\text {eff }}=2.828\left(\chi_{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{1 / 2}$, is 1.9 B.M. (B.M. $=$ Bohr magneton $=9.274 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~T}^{-1}$ ) and the Neel temperature $T_{\mathrm{N}}$ is observed at 4.0 K , indicating the


Figure 1
An ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) diagram of (I), showing the atomnumbering scheme and $30 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids.


Figure 2
The $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions between two phen ligands of (I), viewed (a) parallel and (b) perpendicular to the $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions $\left[a=\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{C} 7^{\mathrm{i}}=\right.$ 3.411 (7) $\AA, b=\mathrm{C} 3 \cdots \mathrm{C} 9^{\mathrm{i}}=3.414$ (8) $\AA$ and $c=\mathrm{C} 5 \cdots \mathrm{C} 13^{\mathrm{i}}=3.466$ (7) $\AA$; symmetry code: (i) $\left.-x+1,-y+2,-z\right]$.
presence of a very weak antiferromagnetic interaction between paramagnetic $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ centers. The distance between the two nearest Cu atoms in the packing structure is $7.228(1) \AA$, which is too long for a pair of $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ centers to interact magnetically. In view of the magnetic exchange mechanism of the monomeric copper(II)-bromide system, the 'bromidebromide contact' or $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Cu}$ contact is known to be an important pathway for antiferromagnetic interaction (Dyrek et al., 1987; Bond et al., 1995). The $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ intermolecular contact distance in (I) is 5.180 (1) $\AA$. This contact distance is considerably longer than the sum of the van der Walls radii of two Br atoms ( $3.90 \AA$ ), but is in the range of the typical $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ contact distances ( $3.90-5.61 \AA$ ) observed for many di- and tetrabromidocuprate compounds that exhibit antiferromagnetism at low temperature (Kang et al., 2004; Van der Bilt et al., 1981). The observed weak antiferromagnetism of the title compound in the crystalline state is most probably due to magnetic exchange via the ' $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Cu}$ contact' pathway.


Figure 3
A plot of magnetic susceptibilities versus temperature in the temperature region $2-300 \mathrm{~K}$ for (I).

## Experimental

Dibromido(1,10-phenanthroline)copper(II), $\left[\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}\right.$ (phen) $]$, was prepared according to the method described by Garland et al. (1988). The chocolate-colored precipitates ( 0.5 mmol ) were dissolved in DMSO. Green single crystals of (I) were obtained by slow evaporation of $\left[\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}\right.$ (phen) $]$ in a DMSO solution for 3 d . Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{CuN}_{2}$ OS: C 34.91, H 2.93, N $5.82 \%$; found: C 34.79, H 2.61, N 5.81\%.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{OS}\right)\right]$
$M_{r}=481.69$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=8.3984$ (2) $\AA$
$b=14.0857$ (3) A
$c=14.5004$ (3) $\AA$
$\beta=106.667$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1643.29(6) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=6.32 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=295$ (2) K
$0.15 \times 0.09 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2002)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.511, T_{\text {max }}=0.685$

Refinement
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.038$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.127$
$S=1.06$
3778 reflections

## 190 parameters

H -atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.53 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.48 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ ).

| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.071(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $2.4692(8)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 12$ | $2.003(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 15$ | $1.933(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $2.5769(8)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $93.71(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 12$ | $80.84(16)$ | $\mathrm{N} 12-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $165.55(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $107.20(11)$ | $\mathrm{N} 12-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 15$ | $95.93(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $143.48(11)$ | $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 15$ | $92.31(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 15$ | $86.51(17)$ | $\mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 15$ | $109.22(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 12-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $94.47(12)$ | $\mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br} 1$ |  |

## metal-organic compounds

H atoms were positioned geometrically and constrained to ride on their attached atoms, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2$ or $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: $\operatorname{WinGX}$ (Farrugia, 1999).
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